## Monitoring the progress of GIA's CoP-L

We have used a range of qualitative and quantitative methods to assess progress towards consolidation of GIA's CoP-L, depending on the type of specific GIA activity implemented. For instance, for workshops and other capacity-building activities, we use Pre- and Post-surveys to gather information from participants regarding changes in skills and understanding before and after the events. This assessment will be complemented with short follow-up post-activity interactions (conversations) with participants (6-8 weeks after) to assess the type of information that lingers from workshop participation, actions that were taken, and their understanding of the change process itself through short vignettes/ narratives. Information will be generated through the use of the Most-Significant Change, Outcome Harvesting, and other approaches.

From Webinars and other Virtual Exchanges, we use Follow-up post surveys to have participants assess attributes that qualify the usefulness of information (i.e., timeliness, quality, accessibility, and relevance) and suitability of the events' format and organization, as well as usefulness of information for their work and its novelty. More generally, we will do Follow-up interviews with participants from our mosaics' CoP-L to gain insights into their knowledge change and application from GIA participation, as well as other relevant information on social learning outcomes including collaboration.

We have defined indicators at several levels, based on the project expected outcomes. The high-level indicators are:

GIA OUTCOME 1	Outcome Indicators 1-4	GIA Target
By 2020, key Amazon stakeholders increase their ability to apply tools and strategies that reduce threats to protected areas and other lands from poorly planned infrastructure projects across at least three focal mosaics in the Amazon.	1. Establishment of at least one community of practice to critically analyze, document and disseminate challenges and solutions related to three drivers: roads, waterways and dams	One CoP-L
	2. Participation of key stakeholders	20 organizations and 30 individuals
	3. Changes in knowledge and skills about conservation strategy effectiveness [Short-term Outcomes 1 and 2 from ToC; below]	Increase in knowledge and skills learned about conservation strategies' effectiveness
	<ol> <li>Cases of application by participants of CMPs [Mid-term Outcomes 1-3 from ToC; below]</li> </ol>	Nine documented cases of application

More specific project implementation indicators were selected based on specifications of the theory-of-change. They correspond to:

SHORT-TERM OUTCOME: CHANGES IN KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS		
SHORT-TERM OUTCOME 1	Short-Term Outcome Indicator 1 (1-3)	
Acquisition of New Knowledge and Skills	1. % participants in project activities who stated they learned something new afterwards	
	<ol><li>% participants in project activities events who stated they learned something useful for their work afterwards</li></ol>	
	3.% participants in project activities who stated they learned/ shared/ taught something useful for their organization afterwards	
SHORT-TERM OUTCOME 2	Short-Term Outcome Indicator 2	
Changes in Perceptions and Attitudes	1. % participants in project activities who stated they changed their points of view or developed new ones after activities	
MID-TERM OUTCOME: KNOWLEDGE APPLICATION		
MID-TERM OUTCOME 1	Mid-Term Outcome Indicator 1 (1-3)	
Changes in Partner Conservation Strategies	1.% participants in project activities who stated they are adopting/ planning to adopt new conservation strategies/ agendas	

3.# of cases of use of new strategies
Mid-Term Outcome Indicator 2 (1-3)
· ,
1.% participants in project activities who stated they plan or are implementing new conservation actions or setting new priorities
2.% participants in project activities who stated they are performing new activities several weeks afterwards
3. # of cases of new actions
Mid-Term Outcome Indicator 3 (1-3)
1.% participants in project activities who stated they have developed new collaborations (weeks after)
<ol><li>2.% participants in project activities who stated they have strengthened existing collaborations (weeks after)</li></ol>
3.# of new / strengthened partnerships